STATE OF LOUISIANA

VERSUS

#### ROBERT CHARLES MILLETTE

NO. 14-KA-76

FIFTH CIRCUIT

COURT OF APPEAL

#### STATE OF LOUISIANA

## ON APPEAL FROM THE TWENTY-FOURTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT PARISH OF JEFFERSON, STATE OF LOUISIANA NO. 12-5536, DIVISION "F" HONORABLE MICHAEL P. MENTZ, JUDGE PRESIDING

#### OCTOBER 29, 2014

COURT OF APPEAL FIFTH CIRCUIT

FILED OCT 292014

## STEPHEN J. WINDHORST JUDGE

Julia CLERK

Panel composed of Judges Robert A. Chaisson, Robert M. Murphy and Stephen J. Windhorst

PAUL D. CONNICK, JR. DISTRICT ATTORNEY Twenty-Fourth Judicial District Parish of Jefferson TERRY M. BOUDREAUX THOMAS J. BUTLER SHWANNAH MCCARTHY ASSISTANT DISTRICT ATTORNEYS 200 Derbigny Street Gretna, Louisiana 70053 COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF/APPELLANT

ROBERT M. LOUQUE, JR. ATTORNEY AT LAW 700 Camp Street Suite 212 New Orleans, Louisiana 70130 COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANT/APPELLEE

#### APPEAL DISMISSED

SAN ZAC Jamm quas

The State has appealed the trial court's granting of defendant's motion to quash defendant's first predicate conviction in the bill of information charging defendant with third offense DWI, La. R.S. 14:98(A) (D). We dismiss this appeal for lack of jurisdiction.

Under La. C.Cr.P. art. 912A, "[O]nly a final judgment or ruling is appealable." A final judgment is one which puts an end to the proceedings. <u>State</u> <u>v. Quinones</u>, 94-436 (La. App. 5 Cir. 11/29/94), 646 So.2d 1216, 1217. Article 912B(l) further provides that the State may appeal "[a] motion to quash an indictment or any count thereof." However, when read and interpreted in reference to subsection A, a ruling on a motion to quash must be a final judgment that puts an end to the proceedings in order to be appealable. <u>State v. Arceneaux</u>, 13-953 (La. App. 5 Cir. 4/23/14), (unpublished). In this case, we find that the trial court's quashing of one of the predicates does not put an end to the proceedings and, instead simply reduces the grade of the offense from a third offense DWI to a second offense DWI by eliminating the use of that particular conviction. Thus, it is not a final, appealable judgment, and therefore the State's proper avenue to seek review is by an application for writ of review. <u>Arceneaux</u>, <u>supra</u>. See <u>State v. Myles</u>, 04-677 (La. App. 5 Cir. 1/25/05), 894 So.2d 515.

Accordingly, we dismiss the present appeal. We reserve to the State the right to file a proper application for supervisory writs, in compliance with U.R.C.A. Rule 4-3, within fifteen days from the date of this decision. Further, we construe the motion for appeal as a notice of intent to seek a supervisory writ so the State is not required to file a notice of intent nor obtain an order setting a return date pursuant to U.R.C.A. Rule 4-3. <u>State v. Donaldson</u>, 13-703 (La. App. 5 Cir. 11/19/13), 130 So.3d 394.

#### APPEAL DISMISSED

SUSAN M. CHEHARDY CHIEF JUDGE

FREDERICKA H. WICKER JUDE G. GRAVOIS MARC E. JOHNSON ROBERT A. CHAISSON ROBERT M. MURPHY STEPHEN J. WINDHORST HANS J. LILJEBERG

JUDGES



FIFTH CIRCUIT 101 DERBIGNY STREET (70053) POST OFFICE BOX 489 GRETNA, LOUISIANA 70054 www.fifthcircuit.org

#### **<u>NOTICE OF JUDGMENT AND</u> <u>CERTIFICATE OF DELIVERY</u>**

I CERTIFY THAT A COPY OF THE OPINION IN THE BELOW-NUMBERED MATTER HAS BEEN DELIVERED IN ACCORDANCE WITH **Uniform Rules - Court of Appeal, Rule 2-20** THIS DAY <u>OCTOBER</u> <u>29, 2014</u> TO THE TRIAL JUDGE, COUNSEL OF RECORD AND ALL PARTIES NOT REPRESENTED BY COUNSEL, AS LISTED BELOW:

V ERYL'O. L'ANDRIEU

CHERYL Q. L'ANDRIEU CLERK OF COURT

# 14-KA-76

#### **E-NOTIFIED**

TERRY M. BOUDREAUX THOMAS J. BUTLER

#### **MAILED**

ROBERT M. LOUQUE, JR. ATTORNEY AT LAW 700 CAMP STREET SUITE 212 NEW ORLEANS, LA 70130 HON. PAUL D. CONNICK, JR. DISTRICT ATTORNEY SHWANNAH MCCARTHY ASSISTANT DISTRICT ATTORNEY TWENTY-FOURTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT 200 DERBIGNY STREET GRETNA, LA 70053

CHERYL Q. LANDRIEU CLERK OF COURT

MARY E. LEGNON CHIEF DEPUTY CLERK

SUSAN BUCHHOLZ FIRST DEPUTY CLERK

MELISSA C. LEDET DIRECTOR OF CENTRAL STAFF

(504) 376-1400 (504) 376-1498 FAX