

STATE OF LOUISIANA

COURT OF APPEAL

FIRST CIRCUIT

2003 CA 0904

INDUSTRIAL BABBITT BEARINGS AND  
BRIDGEFIELD CASUALTY INSURANCE COMPANY

VERSUS

GARY P. LESSARD

Judgment rendered: April 2, 2004

\*\*\*\*\*

On Appeal from the Office of Workers' Compensation  
District 9  
Parish of Ascension, State of Louisiana  
Number 02-04563  
Honorable Glynn F. Voisin, Judge Presiding

\*\*\*\*\*

Matthew W. Tierney  
Baton Rouge, LA

Counsel for Plaintiffs/Appellants  
Industrial Babbitt Bearings &  
Bridgefield Casualty Ins. Co.

Denise A. Vinet  
Baton Rouge, LA

Counsel for Defendant/Appellee  
Gary P. Lessard

BEFORE: PETTIGREW, DOWNING AND McCLENDON, JJ.

Handwritten signatures and initials in black ink on the left margin, including what appears to be 'G.P.', 'M.C.', and other illegible marks.

**DOWNING, J.**

In this workers' compensation dispute, the claimant, Gary P. Lessard, alleged that he injured his lower back while in the scope of his employment as a welder for the Industrial Babbitt Bearings Company. The employer filed a disputed claim form, alleging Lessard was in violation of La.R.S. 23:1208 by willfully making false statements in order to obtain benefits. The workers' compensation judge (WCJ) rendered judgment in favor of Lessard and the employer appealed. The only issue on appeal is whether the WCJ erred in finding that Lessard did not violate La.R.S. 23:1208. Where there are two permissible views of the evidence, a fact finder's choice between them can never be manifestly erroneous. *Stobart v. State, Through Dept. of Transp. And Dev.*, 617 So.2d 880, 882 (La.1993). After a thorough review of the record and exhibits, we conclude that the record does not demonstrate that the fact finder's decision was manifestly erroneous. Thus, in accordance with Uniform Court of Appeal Rules 2-16.2A(8), the decision of the WCJ is affirmed at the employer/appellant's costs.

**AFFIRMED.**