STATE OF LOUISIANA * NO. 2001-K-1477

VERSUS * COURT OF APPEAL

SHERRY SERPAS * FOURTH CIRCUIT

* STATE OF LOUISIANA

*

*

* * * * * * *

ON APPLICATION FOR SUPERVISORY WRITS DIRECTED TO CRIMINAL DISTRICT COURT ORLEANS PARISH NO. 421-960, SECTION "B" HONORABLE PATRICK G. QUINLAN, JUDGE * * * * * * *

JUDGE MAX N. TOBIAS, JR.

* * * * * *

(Court composed of Judge Steven R. Plotkin, Judge Michael E. Kirby, and Judge Max N. Tobias, Jr.)

Harry F. Connick
District Attorney
Paige Cline
Assistant District Attorney
Brandi Hilden
Assistant Distsict Attorney
Aimee Peralta
Law Clerk
619 South White Street
New Orleans, LA 70119
COUNSEL FOR RELATOR

WRIT GRANTED; SENTENCE VACATED; REMANDED.

The defendant, Sherry Serpas, was charged with violating La. R. S. 40:966(A), possession of heroin, on 31 May 2001, which provided for a sentence of "imprisonment at hard labor for not less than four years nor more than ten years without benefit of probation or suspension of sentence." La. R. S. 40:966(C)(1). After commission of the crime, but before the sentencing, the Legislature amended this statute to delete the language "without benefit of probation or suspension of sentence." *See* Acts 2001, No. 403. Section 6 of the Act specifically provides that its provisions "shall only have prospective effect." The act became effective on 15 June 2001.

At the defendant's sentencing on 27 July 2001, the trial court sentenced her to ten years at hard labor, but suspended the sentence with three years of active probation. The State filed the instant writ claiming that the sentence issued by the trial court is illegal. We agree.

It is well settled that the penalty set out in a statute at the time of offense applies. *State v. Ragas*, 98-0011 (La. App. 4 Cir. 7/29/99), 744 So. 2d 99. The fact that a statute is subsequently amended to lessen the possible

penalty does not extinguish liability for the offense committed under the former statute. *State v. Narcisse*, 426 So. 2d 118 (La. 1983).

We have reviewed the sentencing transcript and have found no reasons stated by the trial court for a downward departure of the mandatory minimum sentence provided by the statute. Therefore, the sentence is illegal and must be vacated. The matter is remanded to the trial court for resentencing.

WRIT GRANTED; SENTENCE VACATED; REMANDED.