COREY JOHNSON
*
NO. 2007-CA-1167

VERSUS
*
COURT OF APPEAL

DEPARTMENT OF POLICE
*
FOURTH CIRCUIT

*
*
STATE OF LOUISIANA

APPEAL FROM CITY CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION ORLEANS NO. 7226 * * * * *

Judge Roland L. Belsome

* * * * * *

(Court composed of Judge Dennis R. Bagneris Sr., Judge Terri F. Love, Judge Roland L. Belsome)

Frank G. DeSalvo DESALVO DESALVO & BLACKBURN, APLC 530 Natchez Street Suite 305 New Orleans, LA 70130

COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF/APPELLEE

Victor L. Papai, Jr. Assistant City Attorney Heather M. Valliant Assistant City Attorney Joseph V. DiRosa, Jr. Chief Deputy City Attorney Penya Moses-Fields City Attorney 1300 Perdido Street City Hall - Room 5E03 New Orleans, LA 70112

COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANT/APPELLANT

JUDGMENT VACATED; REMANDED

In this appeal, the Department of Police of the City of New Orleans (Department) seeks review of the decision of the Civil Service Commission (Commission) granting the appeal of the plaintiff, Corey Johnson (Johnson) and ordering his reinstatement with all back pay and emoluments of office. For the reasons which follow, we vacate the judgment and remand to the Commission with instructions.

STATEMENT OF FACTS AND PROCEDURAL HISTORY

The Department hired Johnson on October 5, 1997 as a police officer. The Department reassigned Johnson to the Reserve Division effective August 12, 2005. Johnson failed to report for duty on August 28, 2005, as required due to the approach of Hurricane Katrina. The Department issued a formal notice of termination dated January 18, 2006. The Department issued the termination letter without holding a pre-termination hearing.

Johnson filed an appeal with the Civil Service Commission. The Commission granted the appeal, concluding that the Department failed to grant Johnson a proper pre-termination hearing, including notice and an opportunity to be heard, prior to the imposition of discipline. The Commission ordered the

1

Department to reinstate Johnson to his prior position with all back pay and emoluments of office. The Department filed a motion for appeal seeking review of the Commission's decision.

DISCUSSION

In *Reed v. Dept. of Police*, 2006-1498, p.1 (La. App. 4 Cir. 10/4/07), _ So.2d _, _ WL _, this Court faced the issue of whether the failure to hold a pretermination hearing was an illegal denial of due process. This Court found that Hurricane Katrina, with its effects upon the City of New Orleans and its government, was an extraordinary event such that the Department could discipline its officers without a pre-termination hearing. This Court held that under the unique circumstances presented, a post-termination hearing which allows the accused officer an opportunity to present all relevant evidence which would have been introduced at a pre-termination hearing satisfies the due process requirements of both the United States and Louisiana Constitutions. This Court vacated the decision of the Commission and ordered the Commission to receive from the parties such additional evidence as necessary to appropriately resolve the matter on the merits. *Id.* at p.6.

We are required to follow this court's decision in *Reed*. Thus, the Commission's ruling is vacated, and the Commission is instructed to conduct a post-termination hearing and allow each party to introduce evidence at such hearing and render a decision on the merits of the case.

JUDGMENT VACATED; REMANDED

195343 195343