

STATE OF MICHIGAN
COURT OF APPEALS

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF MICHIGAN,

Plaintiff-Appellee,

v

GEOFFREY GLENN PASFIELD,

Defendant-Appellant.

UNPUBLISHED

May 13, 1997

No. 193831

Grand Traverse Circuit Court

LC No. 95-6870 FH

Before: Corrigan, C.J., and Young and M.J. Talbot*, JJ.

MEMORANDUM.

Defendant's double jeopardy protections are not implicated on the facts of this case, where each of the three offenses at issue occurred in a different year. There is no double jeopardy protection if one crime is complete before the other takes place, even if the offenses share common elements or one constitutes a lesser offense of the other. *People v Lugo*, 214 Mich App 699; 542 NW2d 921 (1995).

Defendant's challenges to the accuracy of information contained in the presentence investigation report are not preserved for appellate review because defendant failed to advance these challenges at the time of sentencing. *People v Sharp*, 192 Mich App 501, 503-504; 481 NW2d 773 (1992). In any event, defendant is not entitled to resentencing. Any inaccuracies in the dates assigned to each of defendant's criminal offenses had no determinative effect on defendant's sentence. See *People v Daniels*, 192 Mich App 658; 482 NW2d 176 (1992).

Finally, defense counsel did not render ineffective assistance by failing to advance a meritless double jeopardy challenge below or by failing to advance irrelevant challenges to the accuracy of the presentence investigation report. *People v Pickens*, 446 Mich 298; 521 NW2d 797 (1994); *People v Gist*, 188 Mich App 610; 470 NW2d 475 (1991).

* Circuit judge, sitting on the Court of Appeals by assignment.

Affirmed.

/s/ Maura D. Corrigan
/s/ Robert P. Young, Jr.
/s/ Michael J. Talbot