## STATE OF MICHIGAN COURT OF APPEALS

| In Re MARK JAMES BEVINS, Minor   |   |
|--|---|
| FAMILY INDEPENDENCE AGENCY,  | UNPUBLISHED June 24, 1997               |
| Plaintiff-Appellee,  |   |
| v  | No. 199295<br>Gladwin Probate Court     |
| TEISHA BEVINS,   | LC No. 95-000069-NA                     |
| Defendant-Appellant.   |   |
| Before: Gage, P.J., and Reilly and Hoekstra, JJ.   |   |
| PER CURIAM.  |   |
| Respondent appeals as of right from the probate co<br>the minor child under MCL 712A.19b(3)(c)(i); MSA 27.31 |   |
| Respondent was not entitled to the involuntary dis   | missal of the termination petition. MCR |

2.504(B)(2). The probate court did not clearly err in finding that the statutory grounds for termination were established by clear and convincing evidence. MCR 5.974(I); *In re Miller*, 433 Mich 331, 337; 445 NW2d 161 (1989). Further, respondent failed to show that termination of her parental rights was clearly not in the child's best interest. *In re Hall-Smith*, \_\_\_ Mich App \_\_\_; \_\_ NW2d \_\_\_ (Docket No. 195833, issued 3/25/97), slip op p 3. Thus, the probate court did not err in terminating

respondent's parental rights to the child. MCL 712A.19b(5); MSA 27.3178(598.19b)(5).

Affirmed.

/s/ Hilda R. Gage /s/ Maureen Pulte Reilly /s/ Joel P. Hoekstra