

STATE OF MICHIGAN
COURT OF APPEALS

RICHARD WOODSIDE,

Plaintiff-Appellant,

v

HENRY FORD HOSPITAL and SURESH C.
PATEL, MD,

Defendants-Appellees.

UNPUBLISHED

August 1, 1997

No. 184248

Wayne Circuit Court

LC No. 94-427123-NO

Before: Young, P.J., and Gribbs and Latreille*, JJ.

MEMORANDUM.

Plaintiff appeals from the trial court's order quashing service of process and dismissing his complaint. Plaintiff sued defendants for medical malpractice arising from treatment he received at defendant Henry Ford Hospital. Defendants moved to quash service and to dismiss plaintiff's complaint on the grounds that he had not provided adequate notice prior to filing suit as required by MCL 600.2912b; MSA 27A.2912(2). The trial judge agreed with defendants, and dismissed the complaint. We affirm.

Plaintiff argues that the trial judge should not have quashed service because he had substantially complied with the notice requirements of MCL 600.2912b; MSA 27A.2912(2). We disagree. Regardless of whether we require strict or substantial compliance with the statutory requirements, we still find that his counsel's letters to defendant Henry Ford Hospital failed to provide adequate notice. Plaintiff's letters informed the hospital of only two of the six criteria required by MCL 600.2912b(4); MSA 27A.2912(2)(4). Plaintiff apparently did not provide any written notice to defendant Patel prior to serving him with the complaint. Plaintiff's written notice to defendants was woefully inadequate, and failed in any measure to correspond with the requirements of MCL 600.2912b(4); MSA 27A.2912(2)(4).

* Circuit judge, sitting on the Court of Appeals by assignment.

Affirmed.

/s/ Robert P. Young, Jr.

/s/ Roman S. Gibbs

/s/ Stanley J. Latreille