STATE OF MICHIGAN

COURT OF APPEALS

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF MICHIGAN,

UNPUBLISHED

August 19, 1997

Plaintiff-Appellee,

V

No. 184470 Recorder's Court LC No. 93-009513

KEITH CLABON,

Defendant-Appellant.

Before: Smolenski, P.J., and Fitzgerald and Gage, JJ.

PER CURIAM.

Following a bench trial, defendant was convicted of malicious destruction of property valued at more than \$100, MCL 750.377a; MSA 28.609(1), and was sentenced to two years' probation. We affirm.

Defendant's sole claim is that the trial court abused its discretion in reopening proofs so as to permit the prosecution to introduce exhibit evidence on the value element of the offense. Defendant has failed to preserve this issue for appeal because his attorney did not object to the reopening of proofs. The objection made by defendant's attorney at the trial pertained only to the weight that should be given to the exhibit evidence. An objection on one ground is insufficient to preserve an appellate attack based on another ground. *People v Stimage*, 202 Mich App 28, 30; 507 NW2d 778 (1993). Moreover, having considered the trial record in light of the standards for unpreserved plain error, *People v Grant*, 445 Mich 535; 520 NW2d 123 (1994), and the standards for reopening proofs, *People v Betts*, 155 Mich App 478, 480-482; 400 NW2d 650 (1986), we are not persuaded that defendant has established any basis for relief. See *People v Collier*, 168 Mich App 687, 694; 425 NW2d 118 (1988).

Affirmed.

/s/ Michael R. Smolenski /s/ E. Thomas Fitzgerald /s/ Hilda R. Gage