

**STATE OF MICHIGAN**  
**COURT OF APPEALS**

---

In the Matter of JOSHUA LAWRENCE  
ATEMAN, Minor.

---

FAMILY INDEPENDENCE AGENCY,  
  
Petitioner-Appellee,

v

MAE LOUISE ATEMAN and LAWRENCE  
CLEMARK JACKSON,

Respondents-Appellants.

---

UNPUBLISHED  
February 5, 1999

Nos. 207145;208443  
Wayne Juvenile Court  
LC No. 92-298837 NA

---

In the Matter of BRANDON ALLEN TODD, Minor.

---

FAMILY INDEPENDENCE AGENCY,  
  
Petitioner-Appellee,

v

LAWRENCE CLEMARK JACKSON,

Respondent-Appellant,

and

FRANCINE THEDORA TODD,

Respondent.

---

No. 208444  
Wayne Juvenile Court  
LC No. 94-320964

Before: Sawyer, P.J., and Wahls and Hoekstra, JJ.

MEMORANDUM.

In these consolidated appeals, respondent Mae Louise Ateman appeals as of right from a juvenile court order terminating her parental rights to Joshua Ateman pursuant to MCL 712A.19b(3)(a)(ii), (c)(i), (g), (i) and (j); MSA 27.3178(598.19b)(3)(a)(ii), (c)(i), (g), (i) and (j), and respondent Lawrence Jackson appeals as of right from a juvenile order terminating his parental rights to Joshua Ateman and Brandon Todd pursuant to MCL 712A.19b(3)(c)(i), (g) and (j); MSA 27.3178(598.19b)(3)(c)(i), (g) and (j). We affirm. This case is being decided without oral argument pursuant to MCR 7.214(E).

The juvenile court did not clearly err in finding that the statutory grounds for termination were established by clear and convincing evidence. MCR 5.974(I); *In re Miller*, 433 Mich 331, 337; 445 NW2d 161 (1989); *In re Hall-Smith*, 222 Mich App 470; 564 NW2d 156 (1997). Further, the juvenile court did not clearly err in determining that both respondents failed to show that termination of their parental rights was clearly not in the children's best interests. MCL 712A.19b(5); MSA 27.3178(598.19b)(5); *In re Hall-Smith*, *supra*. Thus, respondents' parental rights were properly terminated in conformity with § 19b(5).

Affirmed.

/s/ David H. Sawyer

/s/ Myron H. Wahls

/s/ Joel P. Hoekstra