

STATE OF MICHIGAN
COURT OF APPEALS

In the Matter of HEATHER SMITH, VIRGINIA
SMITH and DANIEL LEDESMA, Minors.

FAMILY INDEPENDENCE AGENCY,

Petitioner-Appellee,

v

SUE DALDINE,

Respondent-Appellant,

and

STEWART SMITH and DANIEL LEDESMA, SR.,

Respondents.

UNPUBLISHED

April 20, 1999

No. 211878

St. Clair Circuit Court

Family Division

LC No. 89-000215

Before: Gage, P.J., and Gribbs and Hoekstra, JJ.

MEMORANDUM.

Respondent-appellant appeals as of right from the family court order terminating her parental rights to the minor children under MCL 712A.19b(3)(a)(ii), (b)(ii), (c)(i), (g) and (j); MSA 27.3178(598.19b)(3)(a)(ii), (b)(ii), (c)(i), (g) and (j). We affirm. This case is being decided without oral argument pursuant to MCR 7.214(E).

Only one statutory ground is required to terminate parental rights. *In re McIntyre*, 192 Mich App 47, 50; 480 NW2d 293 (1991). Even if the family court erred in terminating respondent-appellant's parental rights under §§ 19b(3)(a)(ii) and (b)(ii), it did not err in finding that §§ 19b(3)(c)(i), (g) and (j) were established by clear and convincing evidence. MCR 5.974(I); *In re Miller*, 433 Mich 331, 337; 445 NW2d 161 (1989). Further, respondent-appellant failed to show that termination of her parental rights was clearly not in the children's best interests. MCL 712A.19b(5); MSA

27.3178(598.19b)(5); *In re Hall-Smith*, 222 Mich App 470, 472-473; 564 NW2d 156 (1997). Thus, the family court did not err in terminating respondent-appellant's parental rights to the children. *Id.*

Affirmed.

/s/ Hilda R. Gage
/s/ Roman S. Gibbs
/s/ Joel P. Hoekstra