STATE OF MICHIGAN

COURT OF APPEALS

In the Matter of In the Matter of LUKE DANIEL PRITT, MICHAEL LEE PRITT, and JULIAN MICHAEL PRITT, Minors.

FAMILY INDEPENDENCE AGENCY,

Petitioner-Appellee,

v

SHERRI LEE PINKOWSKI and GEORGE WASHINGTON JOHNSON,

Respondents-Appellants,

and

MICHAEL ALAN PRITT,

Respondent.

Before: Gage, P.J., and Gribbs and Hoekstra, JJ.

MEMORANDUM.

In these consolidated appeals, respondents-appellants appeal as of right from a family court order terminating their parental rights to the minor children under MCL 712A.19b(3)(c)(i), (g) and (j); MSA 27.3178(598.19b)(3)(c)(i), (g) and (j). We affirm. This case is being decided without oral argument pursuant to MCR 7.214(E).

The family court did not clearly err in finding that the statutory grounds for termination were established by clear and convincing evidence. MCR 5.974(I), *In re Miller*, 433 Mich 331, 337; 445 NW2d 161 (1989). Further, respondents-appellants failed to show that termination of their parental rights was clearly not in the children's best interests. MCL 712A.19b(5); MSA

UNPUBLISHED April 30, 1999

Nos. 211960; 212233 Wayne Circuit Court Family Division LC No. 93-311228 27.3178(598.19b)(5); *In re Hall-Smith*, 222 Mich App 470; 564 NW2d 156 (1997). Thus, the family court did not err in terminating respondents-appellants' parental rights to the children. *Id*.

Affirmed.

/s/ Hilda R. Gage /s/ Roman S. Gribbs /s/ Joel P. Hoekstra