

STATE OF MICHIGAN
COURT OF APPEALS

In the Matter of LINA MARLENE MEIKA PEREZ,
Minor.

FAMILY INDEPENDENCE AGENCY,

Petitioner,

UNPUBLISHED
May 11, 1999

and

ARNULFO PEREZ and LINA PEREZ,

Petitioners-Appellees,

v

No. 214917
Ottawa Circuit Court
Family Division
LC No. 96-000095 NA

SUMMER CARTER,

Respondent-Appellant,

and

MARCO PEREZ,

Respondent.

Before: Kelly, P.J., and Neff and Smolenski, JJ.

MEMORANDUM.

Respondent-appellant appeals as of right from the family court order terminating her parental rights to the minor child under MCL 712A.19b(3)(f), (g) and (j); MSA 27.3178(598.19b)(3)(f), (g) and (j). We affirm. This case is being decided without oral argument pursuant to MCR 7.214(E).

The family court did not clearly err in finding that jurisdiction was established under MCL 712A.2(b)(5); MSA 27.3178(598.2)(b)(2), by a preponderance of the evidence. MCR 5.972(C)(1); *In re Toler*, 193 Mich App 474, 476; 484 NW2d 672 (1992). Furthermore, the family court did not clearly err in finding that the statutory grounds for termination were established by clear and convincing evidence. MCR 5.974(I); *In re Miller*, 433 Mich 331, 337; 445 NW2d 161 (1989). Respondent-appellant also failed to show that termination of her parental rights was clearly not in the child's best interests. MCL 712A.19b(5); MSA 27.3178(598.19b)(5); *In re Hall-Smith*, 222 Mich App 470, 473; 564 NW2d 156 (1997).

Finally, there is no merit to respondent-appellant's claim that a fraud was committed upon the court relative to the respondent-father's voluntary release of his parental rights. Indeed, respondent-appellant's parental rights were terminated without regard to the respondent-father's parental rights and respondent-appellant has no standing to raise on appeal issues pertaining to the termination of the respondent-father's parental rights. *In the Matter of Campbell*, 129 Mich App 780, 784; 342 NW2d 607 (1983).

Affirmed.

/s/ Michael J. Kelly

/s/ Janet T. Neff

/s/ Michael R. Smolenski