STATE OF MICHIGAN COURT OF APPEALS

In the Matter of ALISA CRAYTON, a/k/a ALISHA CRAYTON, WILLIE CRAYTON, JR., CARLETTA HOLLIDAY, CIERA MOORE and NEFETERA MOORE, Minors.

FAMILY INDEPENDENCE AGENCY,

Petitioner-Appellee,

v

VELMA HOLLIDAY,

Respondent-Appellant,

and

WILLIE CRAYTON, TIMOTHY THOMPSON and OZELL MOORE,

Respondents.

In the Matter of CIERA MOORE and NEFETERA MOORE, Minors.

FAMILY INDEPENDENCE AGENCY,

Petitioner-Appellee,

v

OZELL MOORE,

UNPUBLISHED May 25, 1999

No. 213605 Berrien Circuit Court Family Division LC No. 96-000082 NA

No. 214220 Berrien Circuit Court Family Division LC No. 96-000082 NA

Respondent-Appellant,

and

VELMA HOLLIDAY,

Respondent.

Before: Griffin, P.J., and Cavanagh and Fitzgerald, JJ.

MEMORANDUM.

In Docket No. 213605, respondent Velma Holliday appeals by delayed leave granted the family court order terminating her parental rights to the minor children under MCL 712A.19b(3)(a)(ii), (c)(i), (c)(ii), (g) and (j); MSA 27.3178(598.19b)(3)(a)(ii), (c)(ii), (g) and (j). In Docket No. 214220, respondent Ozell Moore appeals as of right the family court order terminating his parental rights under MCL 712A.19b(3)(b)(i), (g) and (j); MSA 27.3178(598.19b)(3)(b)(i), (g) and (j). We affirm. This case is being decided without oral argument pursuant to MCR 7.214(E).

The family court did not clearly err in finding that the statutory grounds for termination were established by clear and convincing evidence with respect to both respondents. MCR 5.974(I); *In re Miller*, 433 Mich 331, 337; 445 NW2d 161 (1989). Further, respondents failed to show that termination of their parental rights was clearly not in the children's best interests. MCL 712A.19b(5); MSA 27.3178(598.19b)(5); *In re Hall-Smith*, 222 Mich App 470, 473; 564 NW2d 156 (1997). Thus, the family court did not err in terminating respondents' parental rights to the children. *Id*.

Finally, respondent Holliday has not shown that she was denied her right to the effective assistance of counsel. *People v Pickens*, 446 Mich 298, 309; 521 NW2d 797 (1994); *In re Simon*, 171 Mich App 443, 447; 431 NW2d 71 (1988).

Affirmed.

/s/ Richard Allen Griffin

/s/ Mark J. Cavanagh

/s/ E. Thomas Fitzgerald