

STATE OF MICHIGAN
COURT OF APPEALS

In the Matter of DONTEZ DEANDREW DANIELS,
Minor.

FAMILY INDEPENDENCE AGENCY,

Petitioner-Appellee,

v

LAWANDA DENISE GAYLES,

Respondent-Appellant,

and

FLOYD DANIELS,

Respondent.

UNPUBLISHED

August 3, 1999

No. 214363

Wayne Circuit Court

Family Division

LC No. 88-269205

Before: White, P.J., and Markey and Wilder, JJ.

MEMORANDUM.

Respondent-appellant appeals as of right from the family court order terminating her parental rights to the minor child under MCL 712A.19b(3)(c)(i), (g), (i) and (j); MSA 27.3178(598.19b)(3)(c)(i), (g), (i) and (j). We affirm.

The family court did not clearly err in finding that the statutory grounds for termination were established by clear and convincing evidence. MCL 5.974(I); *In re Miller*, 433 Mich 331, 337; 445 NW2d 161 (1989). Further, respondent-appellant failed to show that termination of her parental rights was clearly not in the child's best interests. MCL 712A.19b(5); MSA 27.3178(598.19b)(5); *In re Hall-Smith*, 222 Mich App 470, 472-473; 564 NW2d 156 (1997). Thus, the family court did not err in terminating respondent-appellant's parental rights to the child. *Id.*

Although the juvenile code requires that appellee offer services to facilitate reunification and any additional services the court may order, MCL 712A.18f; MSA 27.3178(598.18f); MCL 712A.19; MSA 27.3178(598.19), appellee is not required to offer every conceivable service that may be available before termination of parental rights may be ordered. Here, the family court did not clearly err in finding that appellee had made reasonable efforts towards reunification and that visitation with the child was not feasible because respondent-appellant was incarcerated.

Affirmed.

/s/ Helene N. White

/s/ Jane E. Markey

/s/ Kurtis T. Wilder