## STATE OF MICHIGAN

## COURT OF APPEALS

In the Matter of MELVIN D. WILLIAMS and TANE' J. WILLIAMS, Minors.

FAMILY INDEPENDENCE AGENCY,

Petitioner-Appellee,

UNPUBLISHED August 3, 1999

v

MARDIA KIRSTEN WILLIAMS,

Respondent-Appellant,

and

MELVIN JEWELL and JAMIR ANDERSON,

Respondents.

No. 214691 Wayne Circuit Court Family Division LC No. 95-323033 NA

Before: White, P.J., and Markey and Wilder, JJ.

## MEMORANDUM.

Respondent-appellant appeals by delayed leave granted a family court order terminating her parental rights to the minor children pursuant to MCL 712A.19b(3)(a)(ii), (c)(i), and (g); MSA 27.3178(598.19b)(3)(a)(ii), (c)(i), and (g). We affirm.

Respondent-appellant's sole issue on appeal refers only to § 19b(3)(c)(i). Further, respondentappellant does not direct her argument at the statutory elements of this subsection, but rather, argues only that petitioner's efforts to reunite the family were deficient. Because respondent-appellant does not challenge the trial court's decision that termination of her parental rights was warranted under §§ 19b(3)(a)(ii) and (g), and does not address the basis for the trial court's decision to terminate under § 19b(3)(c)(i), appellate relief is not warranted with regard to whether a statutory ground for termination was established by clear and convincing evidence. See In re JS and SM, 231 Mich App 92, 98-99;

585 NW2d 326 (1998). In any event, contrary to what respondent-appellant argues, the record indicates that petitioner made a reasonable effort to reunite respondent-appellant with her children. Finally, respondent-appellant failed to show that termination of her parental rights was clearly not in the children's best interests. MCL 712A.19b(5); MSA 27.3178(598.19b(5); *In re Hall-Smith*, 222 Mich App 470, 472-473; 564 NW2d 156 (1997). Thus, the trial court did not err in terminating respondent-appellant's parental rights to the children. *Id*.

We affirm.

/s/ Helene N. White

/s/ Jane E. Markey

/s/ Kurtis T. Wilder