

**STATE OF MICHIGAN**  
**COURT OF APPEALS**

---

In the Matter of PORSHA BRIANNE PALMER,  
ASHLEY JOYCE SHUMPERT, DILLON ARTHUR  
SHUMPERT, FRIDAY LASHAY SHUMPERT and  
BIANCA MARQUITA SHUMPERT, Minors.

---

FAMILY INDEPENDENCE AGENCY,

Petitioner-Appellee,

v

DANETTE SHUMPERT,

Respondent-Appellant,

and

BOBBY ANDERSON, MICHAEL JOHNSON and  
WAYNE PALMER,

Respondents.

---

Before: Markman P.J., and Saad and P. D. Houk\*, JJ.

MEMORANDUM.

Respondent-appellant appeals as of right from a juvenile court order terminating her parental rights to the minor children under MCL 712A.19b(3)(c)(i) and (g); MSA 27.3178(598.19b)(3)(c)(i) and (g). We affirm. This case is being decided without oral argument pursuant to MCR 7.214(E).

The juvenile court did not clearly err in finding that the statutory grounds for termination were established by clear and convincing evidence. MCR 5.974(I); *In re Miller*, 433 Mich 331, 337; 445

\* Circuit judge, sitting on the Court of Appeals by assignment.

NW2d 161 (1989). We reject respondent-appellant's claim that her attempt to obtain housing funds was undermined by the caseworkers assigned to this matter. It is clear from the evidence presented at trial that, in order to qualify for funding, respondent-appellant was required to locate suitable housing and discontinue her long-term substance use. She did neither. Thus, respondent-appellant's failure to qualify for funding was not the fault of the caseworkers.

Finally, respondent-appellant failed to show that termination of her parental rights was clearly not in the children's best interests. MCL 712A.19b(5); MSA 27.3178(598.19b)(5); *In re Hall-Smith*, 222 Mich App 470, 472-473; 564 NW2d 156 (1997). Thus, the juvenile court did not err in terminating respondent-appellant's parental rights to the children. *Id.*

Affirmed.

/s/ Stephen J. Markman

/s/ Henry William Saad

/s/ Peter D. Houk