STATE OF MICHIGAN

COURT OF APPEALS

| PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF MICHIGAN, Plaintiff-Appellee, | UNPUBLISHED January 18, 2000 |
|--|--|
| Tamam Tappenee, | |
| v | No. 201057 |
| | Washtenaw Circuit Court |
| TYREE WASHINGTON, | LC No. 90-042914 FH |
| Defendant-Appellant. | ON REMAND |
| Before: Fitzgerald, P.J., and Hood and Sawyer, JJ. | |
| MEMORANDUM. | |
| This case is on remand from the Supreme Court for consideration of defendant's sentencing issue. <i>People v Williams</i> , Mich; NW2d (1999). We affirm. | |
| Defendant argues that the circuit court lost jurisdiction to sa writ of habeas corpus which delayed defendant's sentencing. We the speedy trial guarantee. <i>People v Garvin</i> , 159 Mich App Accordingly, to determine whether the delay in sentencing depri | te disagree. Sentencing is including in p 38, 46; 406 NW2d 469 (1987). |

must consider: (1) the length of delay; (2) reason for the delay; (3) defendant's assertion of his right; and (4) prejudice to defendant. *Id.* The length of delay between the original sentencing date and actual sentencing was six years. However, defendant's claimed violation fails because defendant did not demonstrate prejudice as a result of the delay. In sentencing defendant, the circuit court gave defendant full credit for time served while in custody for both the federal and state proceedings. *People v McIntosh*, 103 Mich App 11, 21; 302 NW2d 321 (1981). While defendant claims that he is subject to the jurisdiction of the state parole board upon his release from federal custody, this claim is

Affirmed.

speculative.

/s/ E. Thomas Fitzgerald /s/ Harold Hood /s/ David H. Sawyer