## STATE OF MICHIGAN COURT OF APPEALS

In the Matter of JAMES LAMAR WILLIAMS and BRITTANY ORA WILLIAMS, Minors.

FAMILY INDEPENDENCE AGENCY,

Petitioner-Appellee,

 $\mathbf{v}$ 

TIMIKA GROCE,

Respondent,

and

KEITH WILLIAMS,

Respondent-Appellant.

In the Matter of JAMES LAMAR WILLIAMS and BRITTANY ORA WILLIAMS, Minors.

FAMILY INDEPENDENCE AGENCY,

Petitioner-Appellee,

v

TIMIKA GROCE,

Respondent-Appellant,

UNPUBLISHED January 28, 2000

No. 217283 Wayne Circuit Court Family Division LC No. 95-330138

No. 217380 Wayne Circuit Court Family Division LC No. 95-330138 and

## KEITH WILLIAMS,

Respondent.

Before: O'Connell, P.J., and Meter and T. G. Hicks\*, JJ.

## MEMORANDUM.

Respondents appeal as of right from the family court order terminating their parental rights to the minor children under MCL 712A.19b(3)(a)(ii), (c)(i), (g), and (j); MSA 27.3178(598.19b)(3)(a)(ii), (c)(i), (g), and (j). We affirm.

Only one statutory ground is required to terminate parental rights. *In re Huisman*, 230 Mich App 372, 385; 584 NW2d 349 (1998).

In Docket No. 217283, the family court did not clearly err in finding that §§ 19b(3)(c)(i), (g), and (j) were all established by clear and convincing evidence. MCR 5.974(I); *In re Miller*, 433 Mich 331, 337; 445 NW2d 161 (1989). Respondent Williams does not argue that termination of his parental rights was clearly not in the children's best interests. Therefore, the family court did not err in terminating respondent Williams' parental rights to the children. MCL 712A.19b(5); MSA 27.3178(598.19b)(5); *In re Hall-Smith*, 222 Mich App 470, 472-473; 564 NW2d 156 (1997).

In Docket No. 217380, the family court did not clearly err in finding that each of the stated statutory grounds for termination were established by clear and convincing evidence. MCR 5.974(I); *In re Miller, supra* at 337. Further, respondent Groce failed to show that termination of her parental rights was clearly not in the children's best interests. MCL 712A.19b(5); MSA 27.3178(598.19b)(5); *In re Hall-Smith, supra* at 472-473. Thus, the family court did not err in terminating respondent Groce's parental rights to the children.

Affirmed.

/s/ Peter D. O'Connell

/s/ Patrick M. Meter

/s/ Timothy G. Hicks

\* Circuit judge, sitting on the Court of Appeals by assignment.