

STATE OF MICHIGAN
COURT OF APPEALS

In the Matter of JACOB A. GOMEZ, Minor.

FAMILY INDEPENDENCE AGENCY,

Petitioner-Appellee,

v

REBECCA RAE BLANSETT,

Respondent-Appellant,

and

CHRISTOPHER MICHAEL GOMEZ,

Respondent.

In the Matter of JACOB A. GOMEZ, Minor.

FAMILY INDEPENDENCE AGENCY,

Petitioner-Appellee,

v

REBECCA RAE BLANSETT,

Respondent,

and

CHRISTOPHER MICHAEL GOMEZ,

UNPUBLISHED

March 28, 2000

No. 220056

Wayne Circuit Court

Family Division

LC No. 98-372634

No. 220157

Wayne Circuit Court

Family Division

LC No. 98-372634

Before: Wilder, P.J., and Sawyer and Markey, JJ.

MEMORANDUM.

In these consolidated appeals, respondents appeal by right from the family court order terminating their parental rights to the minor child pursuant to MCL 712A.19b(3)(b)(i), (b)(ii), (g), (j) and (k); MSA 27.3178 (598.19b)(3)(b)(i), (b)(ii), (g), (j) and (k). We affirm. This appeal is being decided without oral argument pursuant to MCR 7.214(E)(1)(b).

Only one statutory ground need be proven to terminate parental rights. *In re Vasquez*, 199 Mich App 44, 51-52; 501 NW2d 231 (1993). Here, the family court did not clearly err in finding, at a minimum, that §§ 19b(3)(b)(ii) and (j) were each established by clear and convincing evidence with respect to respondent Blansett, and that §§ 19b(3)(b)(i) and (j) were each established by clear and convincing evidence with respect to respondent Gomez. *In re Hall-Smith*, 222 Mich App 470, 472-473; 564 NW2d 156 (1997); *In re Vasquez, supra*. In addition, respondents failed to show that termination of their parental rights was clearly not in the child's best interests. MCL 712A.19b(5); MSA 27.3178(598.19b)(5). Therefore, the family court did not err in terminating respondents' parental rights to the child. *In re Hall-Smith, supra*.

We affirm.

/s/ Kurtis T. Wilder
/s/ David H. Sawyer
/s/ Jane E. Markey