

STATE OF MICHIGAN
COURT OF APPEALS

In the Matter of DANNY HOPPER II, Minor.

FAMILY INDEPENDENCE AGENCY,

Petitioner-Appellee,

v

DANNY GENE HOPPER,

Respondent-Appellant,

and

STACI ROBERTS,

Respondent.

UNPUBLISHED

March 31, 2000

No. 220707

Kalamazoo Circuit Court

Family Division

LC No. 97-000101-NA

Before: Wilder, P.J., and Sawyer and Markey, JJ.

MEMORANDUM.

Respondent-appellant appeals by right from a family court order terminating his parental rights to the minor child pursuant to MCL 712A.19b(3)(a)(ii), (c)(i), (g) and (j); MSA 27.3178(598.19b)(3)(a)(ii), (c)(i), (g) and (j). We affirm.

Only one statutory ground for termination must be established in order to terminate parental rights. *In re Huisman*, 230 Mich App 372, 384-385; 584 NW2d 349 (1998). The family court did not clearly err in finding that §§ 19b(3)(c)(i) and (g) were both established by clear and convincing evidence. MCR 5.974(I); *In re Miller*, 433 Mich 331, 337; 445 NW2d 161 (1989). Accordingly, we need not decide whether termination was also proper under §§ 19b(3)(a)(ii) or (j). *In re Huisman, supra*. Because respondent-appellant failed to show that termination was clearly not in the child's best interests, MCL 712A.19b(5); MSA 27.3178(598.19b)(5), the family

court did not err in terminating his parental rights to the child. *In re Hall-Smith*, 222 Mich App 470, 472-473; 564 NW2d 156 (1997).

We affirm.

/s/ Kurtis T. Wilder
/s/ David H. Sawyer
/s/ Jane E. Markey