

**STATE OF MICHIGAN**  
**COURT OF APPEALS**

---

In the Matter of KYAIRA V. PARMENTER and  
CYAIRA A. PARMENTER, Minors.

---

FAMILY INDEPENDENCE AGENCY,

Petitioner-Appellee,

v

OSCAR BERNARD PARMENTER,

Respondent-Appellant,

and

EVELYN RIVERA,

Respondent.

---

UNPUBLISHED

April 4, 2000

No. 218893

Wayne Circuit Court

Family Division

LC No. 95-327916

Before: Wilder, P.J., and Sawyer and Markey, JJ.

**MEMORANDUM.**

Respondent Parmenter appeals as of right from a family court order terminating his parental rights to the minor children pursuant to MCL 712A.19b(3)(a)(ii), (b)(i), (b)(ii), (c)(i), (g) and (j); MSA 27.3178(598.19b)(3)(a)(ii), (b)(i), (b)(ii), (c)(i), (g) and (j). We affirm.

The family court did not clearly err in finding, at a minimum, that §§ 19b(3)(b)(i), (c)(i), (g) and (j), were each established by clear and convincing evidence. *In re Hall-Smith*, 222 Mich App 470, 472-473; 564 NW2d 156 (1997); *In re Vasquez*, 199 Mich App 44, 51-52; 501 NW2d 231 (1993). Because only one statutory ground is required to terminate parental rights, *In re IEM*, 233 Mich App 438, 450; 592 NW2d 751 (1999), we need not decide if termination was also warranted under §§ 19b(3)(a)(ii) and (b)(ii). In addition, respondent failed to show that termination of his parental rights was clearly not in the children's best interests. MCL 712A.19b(5); MSA 27.3178(598.19b)(5).

Therefore, the family court did not err in terminating his parental rights to the children. *In re Hall-Smith, supra*.

Affirmed.

/s/ Kurtis T. Wilder  
/s/ David H. Sawyer  
/s/ Jane E. Markey