STATE OF MICHIGAN

COURT OF APPEALS

In the Matter of LEGEND DENZEL JEMISON, Minor.	
FAMILY INDEPENDENCE AGENCY, Petitioner-Appellee,	UNPUBLISHED April 18, 2000
v LADAWN BANKS,	No. 218880 St. Clair Circuit Court Family Division LC No. 98-004506-NA
Respondent-Appellant,	LC NO. 96-004300-INA
and	
CHESTER JEMISON,	
Respondent.	

Before: Gribbs, P.J., and Doctoroff and T.L. Ludington*, JJ.

MEMORANDUM.

Respondent-appellant appeals as of right from the family court order terminating her parental rights to the minor child under MCL 712A.19b(3)(g), (i) and (j); MSA 27.3178(598.19b)(3)(g), (i) and (j). We affirm.

The family court did not clearly err in finding that the statutory grounds for termination were established by clear and convincing evidence.¹ MCR 5.974(I); *In re Miller*, 433 Mich 331, 337; 445 NW2d 161 (1989). Further, respondent-appellant failed to show that termination of her parental rights was clearly not in the child's best interests. MCL 712A.19b(5); MSA 27.3178(598.19b)(5); *In re Hall-Smith*, 222 Mich App 470, 472-473; 564 NW2d 156 (1997).

^{*} Circuit judge, sitting on the Court of Appeals by assignment.

Thus, the family court did not err in terminating respondent-appellant's parental rights to the child.

Affirmed.

/s/ Roman S. Gribbs
/s/ Martin M. Doctoroff
/s/ Thomas L. Ludington

¹ Respondent also argues that it was improper to terminate her parental rights under MCL 712A.19b(3)(l); MSA 27.3178(598.19b)(3)(l) because that subsection is unconstitutional. Although termination was requested under § 19b(3)(l), the record does not indicate that the family court relied on that subsection as a basis for termination. Therefore, it is unnecessary to address this issue.