

STATE OF MICHIGAN
COURT OF APPEALS

In the Matter of ROBERT NASH, Minor.

FAMILY INDEPENDENCE AGENCY,

Petitioner-Appellee,

v

HOLLI JO SANDERS,

Respondent-Appellant,

and

ROBERT NASH, SR.,

Respondent.

UNPUBLISHED

April 28, 2000

No. 219349

Wayne Circuit Court

Family Division

LC No. 97-360940

Before: Collins, P.J., and Neff and Smolenski, JJ.

MEMORANDUM.

Respondent-appellant appeals as of right a family court order terminating her parental rights to the minor child pursuant to MCL 712A.19b(3)(a)(ii), (c)(i), (g) and (j); MSA 27.3178(598.19b)(3)(a)(ii), (c)(i), (g) and (j). We affirm.

Only one statutory ground for termination must be established to terminate parental rights. *In re Huisman*, 230 Mich App 372, 384-385; 584 NW2d 349 (1998). Here, the family court did not clearly err in finding that §§ 19b(3)(c)(i) and (g) were both established by clear and convincing evidence. MCR 5.974(I); *In re Miller*, 433 Mich 331, 337; 445 NW2d 161 (1989). Accordingly, we need not decide whether termination was also proper under §§ 19b(3)(a)(ii) and (j). *In re Huisman, supra*. Respondent-appellant does not argue, nor does the record indicate, that termination of her parental rights was clearly not in the child's best interests. MCL 712A.19b(5); MSA 27.3178(598.19b)(5). Thus, we conclude that the family court did not err in terminating respondent-appellant's parental rights to the child.

Affirmed.

/s/ Jeffrey G. Collins

/s/ Janet T. Neff

/s/ Michael R. Smolenski