STATE OF MICHIGAN

COURT OF APPEALS

In the Matter of TANAYA KLINE, Minor. **UNPUBLISHED** FAMILY INDEPENDENCE AGENCY, June 27, 2000 Petitioner-Appellee, No. 223642 v Dickinson Circuit Court Family Division COLLEEN KLINE, LC No. 98-523-NA Respondent-Appellant, and SCOTT KLINE, Respondent. In the Matter of ZACHARY KLINE, Minor. FAMILY INDEPENDENCE AGENCY, Petitioner-Appellee, No. 223643 v Dickinson Circuit Court COLLEEN KLINE, Family Division LC No. 98-524-NA Respondent-Appellant, and SCOTT KLINE,

Respondent.

Before: Smolenski, P.J., and Zahra and Collins, JJ.

MEMORANDUM.

Respondent-appellant appeals by right from a family court order terminating her parental rights to the minor children pursuant to MCL 712A.19b(3)(c) and (g); MSA 27.3178(598.19b)(3)(c) and (g). We affirm.

Only one statutory ground for termination must be established in order to terminate parental rights. *In re Huisman*, 230 Mich App 372, 384-385; 584 NW2d 349 (1998). The family court did not clearly err in finding that §§ 19b(3)(c)(i) and (g) were each established by clear and convincing evidence. MCR 5.974(I); *In re Miller*, 433 Mich 331, 337; 445 NW2d 161 (1989). Accordingly, we need not decide whether termination was also proper under § 19b(3)(c)(ii). *In re Huisman, supra*. Because respondent-appellant failed to show that termination was clearly not in the children's best interests, MCL 712A.19b(5); MSA 27.3178(598.19b)(5), the family court did not err in terminating her parental rights to the children. *In re Hall-Smith*, 222 Mich App 470, 472-473; 564 NW2d 156 (1997).

Affirmed.

/s/ Michael R. Smolenski

/s/ Brian K. Zahra

/s/ Jeffrey G. Collins