STATE OF MICHIGAN

COURT OF APPEALS

In the Matter of DANIELLE BAKER, ROSEMARIE BAKER and BARBARA BAKER, Minors.	
FAMILY INDEPENDENCE AGENCY,	UNPUBLISHED July 25, 2000
Petitioner - Appellee,	
v	No. 224043 Kalamazoo Circuit Court
DANNY BAKER and ROSEMARY BAKER,	Family Division LC No. 87-000030-na
Respondents - Appellants.	

Before: McDonald, P.J., and Neff and Zahra, JJ.

MEMORANDUM.

Respondents appeal as of right the family court order terminating their parental rights to the minor children under MCL 712A.19b(3)(c)(i), (g), and (j); MSA 27.3178(598.19b)(3)(c)(i), (g), and (j). We affirm.

We review for clear error both the court's decision that a ground for termination has been proven by clear and convincing evidence and, where appropriate, the court's decision regarding the child's best interest. *In re Trejo minors*, ___ Mich ___; ___ NW2d ___ (Docket No. 112528, issued 07/05/00). Only one statutory ground is required to terminate parental rights. *In re Sours minors*, 459 Mich 642, 641; 593 NW2d 520 (1999); *In re Terry and Hankston minors*, 240 Mich App 14, 21-22; 610 NW2d 563 (2000). We find that the family court did not clearly err in finding that § 19b(3)(g) was established by clear and convincing evidence. MCR 5.974(I); *Sours, supra* at 633. Moreover, although there was evidence that the children were bonded to their parents, the family court did not clearly err in determining that termination of respondents' parental rights was in the children's best interest. MCL 712A19b(5); MSA 27.3178 (598.19b)(5); *In re Trejo, supra*.

Affirmed.

/s/ Gary R. McDonald

/s/ Janet T. Neff

/s/ Brian K. Zahra