STATE OF MICHIGAN COURT OF APPEALS

In the Matter of JONATHAN COLBY-ALEXANDER MCQUEEN, NICHOLAS ARON-GREY MCQUEEN, CASSIDY AMBER RAE MCQUEEN, Minors.

FAMILY INDEPENDENCE AGENCY,

Petitioner-Appellee,

 \mathbf{v}

MICHELLE LYNN BARKOW MCQUEEN,

Respondent,

and

SEAN DALE MCQUEEN,

Respondent-Appellant.

Before: Murphy, P.J., and Kelly and Talbot, JJ.

MEMORANDUM.

Respondent-appellant appeals as of right from the family court's order terminating his parental rights to the minor children under MCL 712A.19b(3)(c)(i), (g) and (j); MSA 27.3178(598.19b)(3)(c)(i), (g) and (j). We affirm. This case is being decided without oral argument pursuant to MCR 7.214(E).

The family court did not clearly err in finding that the statutory grounds for termination were established by clear and convincing evidence. MCR 5.974(I); *In re Miller*, 433 Mich 331, 337; 445 NW2d 161 (1989). Further, respondent-appellant failed to show that that termination of his parental rights was clearly not in the children's best interests. Pursuant to MCL 712A.19b(5); MSA 27.3178(598.19b)(5) termination of parental rights was required unless the court found that termination

UNPUBLISHED August 11, 2000

No. 222567 Wayne Circuit Court Family Division LC No. 97-357991

was clearly not in the children's best interest. 112528, issued 7/5/2000), slip op p 27. On thi	•			,
was clearly erroneous or that termination was clear court did not err in terminating respondent's paren	•	interest	. Accordin	gly, the
Affirmed.				

/s/ William B. Murphy /s/ Michael J. Kelly /s/ Michael J. Talbot