STATE OF MICHIGAN COURT OF APPEALS

In the Matter of STEPHANIE EVANS, JERRY RAAB, JENNIFER RAAB, BRYAN RAAB, and BRADLEY RAAB, Minors.

FAMILY INDEPENDENCE AGENCY,

Petitioner-Appellee,

 \mathbf{v}

RHONDA EVANS,

Respondent-Appellant,

and

JERRY WAYNE RAAB,

Respondent.

Before: McDonald, P.J., and Sawyer and White, JJ.

MEMORANDUM.

Respondent-appellant appeals by delayed leave granted from a family court order terminating her parental rights to the minor children pursuant to MCL 712A.19b(3)(c)(i), (g) and (j); MSA 27.3178(598.19b)(3)(c)(i), (g) and (j)¹. We affirm.

Only one statutory ground for termination must be established in order to terminate parental rights. *In re Huisman*, 230 Mich App 372, 384-385; 584 NW2d 349 (1998). Here, we conclude

¹ It is unclear from the referee's report and recommendation, upon which the family court's was based, whether §§ 19b(3)(a)(ii), (b)(ii) or (c)(ii) were also found to have been established. In light of our conclusion with regard to §§ 19b(3)(c)(i) and (g), we need not resolve this ambiguity.

UNPUBLISHED September 26, 2000

No. 224344 St. Clair Circuit Court Family Division LC No. 98-004422 that the family court did not clearly err in finding that §§ 19b(3)(c)(i) and (g) were both established by clear and convincing evidence. MCR 5.974(I); *In re Miller*, 433 Mich 331, 337; 445 NW2d 161 (1989). Accordingly, we need not decide whether termination was also warranted under any other grounds. *In re Huisman, supra*. Further, the evidence did not establish that termination of respondent-appellant's parental rights was clearly not in the children's best interests. MCL 712A.19b(5); MSA 27.3178(598.19b)(5), *In re Trejo Minors*, 462 Mich 341, 354; 603 NW2d 787 (2000). Thus, the family court did not err in terminating respondent-appellant's parental rights to the children.

Affirmed.

/s/ Gary R. McDonald /s/ David H. Sawyer /s/ Helene N. White