

STATE OF MICHIGAN
COURT OF APPEALS

In re MAX NICHOLAS CLIXBY.

OAKLAND COUNTY PROSECUTOR,

Petitioner-Appellant,

v

MAX NICHOLAS CLIXBY,

Respondent-Appellee.

UNPUBLISHED

October 31, 2000

No. 219136

Oakland Circuit Court

Juvenile Division

LC No. 98-612504-DL

Before: Griffin, P.J., and Cavanagh and Gage, JJ.

MEMORANDUM.

Petitioner appeals by leave granted from the juvenile court order that assessed sanctions against the prosecutor's office in the amount of \$100 to reimburse respondent's costs in issuing subpoenas for his witnesses to appear for an evidentiary hearing. We affirm. This appeal is being decided without oral argument pursuant to MCR 7.214(E).

This Court has long held that a trial court has inherent authority to impose sanctions for the misconduct of a party or an attorney. See *Persichini v William Beaumont Hosp*, 238 Mich App 626, 639-640; 607 NW2d 100 (1999); *People v Taylor*, 159 Mich App 468, 471, n 4; 406 NW2d 859 (1987). See also MCL 600.611; MSA 27A.611 ("Circuit courts have jurisdiction and power to make any order proper to fully effectuate the circuit courts' jurisdiction and judgments."). This Court will not disturb a trial court's exercise of its inherent power unless a clear abuse of discretion is shown. *Persichini, supra* at 642. We find no abuse of discretion in this case. The amount of sanction imposed was reasonably related to the nature and gravity of the misconduct.

Affirmed.

/s/ Richard Allen Griffin

/s/ Mark J. Cavanagh

/s/ Hilda R. Gage