

STATE OF MICHIGAN
COURT OF APPEALS

In the Matter of RICHARD WINFREY,
SHONTE RICE and SHENITA RICE, Minors.

FAMILY INDEPENDENCE AGENCY,

Petitioner-Appellee,

v

BEVERLY ANN WINFREY,

Respondent-Appellant,

and

DAVID MARTIN,

Respondent.

.

UNPUBLISHED
November 3, 2000

No. 223824
Wayne Circuit Court
Family Division
LC No. 91-292443

Before: Neff, P.J., and Talbot and J.B. Sullivan,* JJ.

MEMORANDUM.

Respondent-appellant appeals as of right from the family court order terminating her parental rights pursuant to MCL 712A.19b(3)(g), (i) and (j); MSA 27.3178(598.19b)(3)(g), (i) and (j). We affirm.

After carefully reviewing the record, we are satisfied that the family court did not clearly err in finding that § 19b(3)(g), (i) and (j) were established by clear and convincing evidence. MCR 5.974(I); *In re Sours*, 459 Mich 624, 633; 593 NW2d 520 (1999). Further, we find no clear error in the family court's finding that termination was in the best interest of the children. MCL 712A.19b(5); MSA 27.3178(598.19b)(5); *In re Trejo*, 462 Mich 341, 364-365; 612 NW2d 407 (2000).

* Former Court of Appeals judge, sitting on the Court of Appeals by assignment.

Respondent's lengthy history of drug use and the loss of parental rights to other children, notwithstanding substantial agency efforts, amply support the family court's decision. *In re Trejo, supra; In re Sours, supra.*

Affirmed.

/s/ Janet T. Neff

/s/ Michael J. Talbot

/s/ Joseph B. Sullivan