

STATE OF MICHIGAN
COURT OF APPEALS

In the Matter of TANYA RODGERS, Minor.

FAMILY INDEPENDENCE AGENCY,

Petitioner-Appellee,

v

THEODORA RODGERS,

Respondent-Appellant,

and

DOUGLAS TYUS,

Respondent.

In the Matter of DOUGLAS RODGERS, Minor.

FAMILY INDEPENDENCE AGENCY,

Petitioner-Appellee,

v

THEODORA RODGERS,

Respondent-Appellant,

and

DOUGLAS TYUS,

Respondent.

UNPUBLISHED
November 28, 2000

No. 225642
Berrien Circuit Court
Family Division
LC No. 98-000011-NA

No. 225908
Berrien Circuit Court
Family Division
LC No. 98-000079-NA

Before: Neff, P.J., and Murphy and Griffin, JJ.

MEMORANDUM.

In these consolidated cases, respondent-mother (“respondent”) appeals as of right the family court orders terminating her parental rights to the minor children pursuant to MCL 712A.19b(3)(a)(ii), (c), (g), and (j); MSA 27.3178(598.19b)(3)(a)(ii), (c), (g), and (j). We affirm.

Only one statutory ground is required to terminate parental rights. *In re Huisman*, 230 Mich App 372, 384-385; 584 NW2d 349 (1998), overruled in part on other grounds, *In re Trejo*, 462 Mich 341, 352-353, n 10; 612 NW2d 423 (2000). The family court did not clearly err in finding that termination under each of the four subsections was established by clear and convincing evidence, given respondent’s continuing issues with alcohol abuse and domestic violence in her home, and her express decision to cease contact with the children in July 1999. MCR 5.974(I); *Huisman*, *supra*.

The record does not support respondent’s contention that termination was clearly not in the best interests of the children, in view of respondent’s noncompliance with service plans and her failure to change her home environment. MCL 712A.19b(5); MSA 27.3178(598.19b)(5), *In re Trejo*, *supra* at 354, 364.

Affirmed.

/s/ Janet T. Neff
/s/ William B. Murphy
/s/ Richard Allen Griffin