

STATE OF MICHIGAN
COURT OF APPEALS

In the Matter of JORDAN PAUL PIKARSKI,
Minor.

FAMILY INDEPENDENCE AGENCY,

Petitioner-Appellee,

v

JULIE PIKARSKI,

Respondent-Appellant.

UNPUBLISHED

February 16, 2001

No. 227934

Oakland Circuit Court

Family Division

LC No. 99-629739-NA

Before: Markey, P.J. and McDonald and K. F. Kelly, JJ.

MEMORANDUM.

Respondent appeals as of right from the family court's order terminating her parental rights to the minor child under MCL 712A.19b(3)(e), (g), (j), and (i); MSA 27.3178(598.19b)(3)(e), (g), (j), and (i). We affirm.

Respondent argues that the trial court abused its discretion by admitting into evidence court records from a prior proceeding terminating respondent's parental rights to another child and from the limited guardianship placement plan involving the minor in the instant case. However, how a parent treats one child is probative of how that parent may treat other children. *In re Powers*, 208 Mich App 582, 588; 528 NW2d 799 (1995). Moreover, the asserted statutory grounds for termination included subsections (3)(e) and (i), which involve, respectively, the parent's failure to comply with a guardianship plan and the parent's termination of parental rights to a sibling of the child. Thus, the disputed records were crucial evidence of the asserted statutory grounds. Their probative value was not substantially outweighed by the danger of unfair prejudice. MRE 403.

Respondent contends that the records contained inadmissible hearsay. However, respondent fails to identify any specific hearsay statements and has, accordingly, failed to properly present this issue to this Court. *People v Kelly*, 231 Mich App 627, 641; 588 NW2d 480 (1998).

Affirmed.

/s/ Jane E. Markey

/s/ Gary R. McDonald

/s/ Kirsten Frank Kelly