

STATE OF MICHIGAN
COURT OF APPEALS

In the Matter of S.S., Minor.

JUDY FLEES,

Petitioner-Appellee,

v

VICKI L. OSBORN,

Respondent-Appellant.

UNPUBLISHED

April 24 2001

No. 230236

Kent Circuit Court

Family Division

LC No. 00-057801-NA

Before: Hoekstra, P.J., and Whitbeck and Cooper, JJ.

MEMORANDUM.

Respondent appeals as of right the family court's order terminating her parental rights to S.S. pursuant to MCL 712A.19b(3)(f) and (g); MSA 27.3178(598.19b)(f) and (g). We affirm.

After a careful review of the record, we are satisfied that the family court did not err in finding that §§ 19b(3)(f) and (g) were established by clear and convincing evidence. MCR 5.974(I); *In re Sours*, 459 Mich 624, 633; 593 NW2d 520 (1999). Further, we find no error in the family court's finding that termination was in the best interest of the child. MCL 712A.19b(5); MSA 27.3178(598.19b)(5); *In re Trejo*, 462 Mich 341, 356, 364-365; 612 NW2d 407 (2000).

Respondent's child has periodically lived with petitioner since she was six months old and has lived full-time with petitioner for the past two years. During those two years, respondent has done very little to provide support or establish a stable home for her child. Furthermore, respondent has not visited regularly or maintained meaningful contact with the minor child for

the two years preceding the petition. Respondent's failure to pay child support, her transient lifestyle, and current lack of housing further supports the family court's decision. *In re Trejo, supra.*

/s/ Joel P. Hoekstra

/s/ William C. Whitbeck

/s/ Jessica R. Cooper