STATE OF MICHIGAN COURT OF APPEALS

In the Matter of DAKOTA KEITH SEAN THOMAS MCQUEEN, Minor.

FAMILY INDEPENDENCE AGENCY,

Petitioner-Appellee,

 \mathbf{v}

BETHANY ANN BRIDWELL,

Respondent-Appellant,

and

SEAN DALE MCQUEEN,

Respondent.

In the Matter of DAKOTA KEITH SEAN THOMAS MCQUEEN, Minor.

FAMILY INDEPENDENCE AGENCY,

Petitioner-Appellee,

V

SEAN DALE MCQUEEN,

Respondent-Appellant,

and

BETHANY ANN BRIDWELL,

UNPUBLISHED May 25, 2001

No. 223814 Wayne Circuit Court Family Division LC No. 97-357990

No. 223940 Wayne Circuit Court Family Division LC No. 97-357990

Respondent.

Before: Jansen, P.J., and Zahra and Owens, JJ.

MEMORANDUM.

Respondents appeal as of right from the family court order terminating their parental rights to the minor child under MCL 712A.19b(3)(c)(i), (g), and (j); MSA 27.3178(598.19b)(3)(c)(i), (g), and (j). We affirm.

The record demonstrates compliance with the notification requirement of the Indian Child Welfare Act (ICWA), 25 USC 1912(a), for purposes of determining whether the minor child was an Indian child under the ICWA. *In re IEM*, 233 Mich App 438, 447-448; 592 NW2d 751 (1999); *In re Shawboose*, 175 Mich App 637, 639; 438 NW2d 272 (1989). Because the applicable Indian tribes determined that the child was not an Indian child, the family court was not required to apply the heightened evidentiary standards applicable to Indian children. *Id.* at 639-640. Additionally, the family court did not clearly err in finding that the statutory grounds for termination were established by clear and convincing evidence. MCR 5.974(I); *In re Miller*, 433 Mich 331, 337; 445 NW2d 161 (1989). Thus, the family court did not err in terminating respondents' parental rights to the child.

Affirmed.

/s/ Kathleen Jansen

/s/ Brian K. Zahra

/s/ Donald S. Owens