

**STATE OF MICHIGAN**  
**COURT OF APPEALS**

---

In the Matter of CORINA MARIE WILLIAMS,  
Minor.

---

FAMILY INDEPENDENCE AGENCY,  
  
Petitioner-Appellee,

v

TANYA WILLIAMS,  
  
Respondent-Appellant,

and

STANLEY POWELL,  
  
Respondent.

---

In the Matter of CORINA MARIE WILLIAMS,  
Minor.

---

FAMILY INDEPENDENCE AGENCY,  
  
Petitioner-Appellee,

v

STANLEY POWELL,  
  
Respondent-Appellant.

and

TANYA WILLIAMS,

UNPUBLISHED  
May 25, 2001

No. 230704  
Grand Traverse Circuit Court  
Family Division  
LC No. 00-000305-NA

No. 230705  
Grand Traverse Circuit Court  
Family Division  
LC No. 00-000019-NA

Respondent.

---

Before: Jansen, P.J., and Zahra and Owens, JJ.

MEMORANDUM.

In these consolidated appeals, respondent Tanya Williams appeals as of right from the trial court's order terminating her parental rights to the minor child under MCL 712A.19b(3)(j); MSA 27.3178(598.19b)(3)(j), and respondent Stanley Powell appeals as of right from the trial court's denial of his petition to revoke his voluntary release of parental rights to the minor child. We affirm.

We review for clear error both the trial court's determination that a statutory ground for termination was proven by clear and convincing evidence and its decision regarding the child's best interests. MCR 5.974(I); *In re Trejo Minors*, 462 Mich 341, 356-357; 612 NW2d 407 (2000). We conclude that the trial court did not clearly err in finding that § 19b(3)(j) was established by clear and convincing evidence with respect to respondent Williams. Further, the evidence did not show that termination of respondent Williams' parental rights was clearly not in the child's best interests. MCL 712A.19b(5); MSA 27.3178(598.19b)(3)(5). Thus, the trial court did not err in terminating respondent Williams' parental rights to the child.

We review the trial court's decision concerning a petition to vacate a voluntary release of parental rights for an abuse of discretion. *In re Curran*, 196 Mich App 380, 385; 493 NW2d 454 (1993). Under the circumstances, the trial court did not abuse its discretion in denying respondent Powell's petition to vacate his release of parental rights.

Affirmed.

/s/ Kathleen Jansen  
/s/ Brian K. Zahra  
/s/ Donald S. Owens