## STATE OF MICHIGAN COURT OF APPEALS

In the Matter of K.R., Minor.
FAMILY INDEPENDENCE AGENCY,
Petitioner-Appellee,
v
CYNTHIA RODGERS,
Respondent-Appellant,
and
MARCUS HENDERSON,

Respondent.

UNPUBLISHED November 2, 2001

No. 232220 Washtenaw Circuit Court Family Division LC No. 98-024763-NA

Before: Doctoroff, P.J., and Wilder and Chad C. Schmucker\*, JJ.

## MEMORANDUM.

Respondent-appellant appeals as of right from the trial court order terminating her parental rights to the minor child under MCL 712A.19b(3)(c)(i), (g) and (j). We affirm. This case is being decided without oral argument pursuant to MCR 7.214(E).

After carefully reviewing the record, we are satisfied that the trial court did not clearly err in finding that the statutory grounds for termination were established by clear and convincing evidence. MCR 5.974(I); *In re Sours*, 459 Mich 624, 633; 593 NW2d 520 (1999). Further, the evidence did not show that termination of respondent-appellant's parental rights was clearly not in the child's best interests. MCL 712A.19(b)(5); *In re Trejo*, 462 Mich 341, 356-357; 612 NW2d 407 (2000). Finally, while the petitioner has an obligation to make reasonable efforts at reunification, MCL 712A.18f(4); *In re Terry*, 240 Mich App 14, 26; 610 NW2d 242 (2000), petitioner's failure to provide services in this case does not warrant reversal because there was evidence that respondent either received services through the jail during her periods of incarceration or secured them herself. Thus, the trial court did not err in terminating respondent-

<sup>\*</sup> Circuit judge, sitting on the Court of Appeals by assignment.

appellant's parental rights to the minor child.

Affirmed.

/s/ Martin M. Doctoroff

/s/ Kurtis T. Wilder

/s/ Chad C. Schmucker