

STATE OF MICHIGAN  
COURT OF APPEALS

---

In the Matter of BRANDON MICHAEL  
ANDERSON and NICHOLAS ANDERSON,  
Minors.

---

FAMILY INDEPENDENCE AGENCY,  
  
Petitioner-Appellee,

v

LISA MARIE ANDERSON,  
  
Respondent-Appellant.

---

UNPUBLISHED  
December 21, 2001

No. 232632  
Wayne Circuit Court  
Family Division  
LC No. 99-381787

Before: Meter, P.J., and Jansen and Gotham\*, JJ.

MEMORANDUM.

Respondent-appellant appeals as of right from the order terminating her parental rights to the minor children under MCL 712A.19b(3)(c)(i), (g), and (j). We affirm. This case is being decided without oral argument pursuant to MCR 7.214(E).

The trial court did not err in suspending respondent's visitation with the minor children when a petition for termination was filed contemporaneously with or shortly after the order of suspension. MCL 712A.19b(4). Furthermore, suspension was appropriate under MCL 712A.18(f)(3)(e) because visitation would have been emotionally harmful to the children, considering that respondent disappeared for long periods of time and put forth no effort to comply with the parent-agency agreement and reunite her family.

The trial court did not clearly err in finding that termination of respondent's parental rights under MCL 712A.19b(3)(c)(i) and (g) was supported by clear and convincing evidence. MCR 5.974(I); *In re Miller*, 433 Mich 331, 337; 445 NW2d 161 (1989).<sup>1</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup> We note that only one statutory basis need be established to warrant termination. *In re Trejo*, 462 Mich 341, 360; 612 NW2d 407 (2000).

---

\* Circuit judge, sitting on the Court of Appeals by assignment.

Respondent's due process right to be informed of the nature of the proceedings and afforded an opportunity to be heard was not violated where the various petitions for termination throughout the proceedings set forth the specific statutory subsections under which termination was sought, including MCL 712A.19b(3)(j), quoted the statutory subsections, and set forth facts clearly describing the allegations against respondent. *In re Slis*, 144 Mich App 678, 684; 375 NW2d 788 (1985).

Affirmed.

/s/ Patrick M. Meter

/s/ Kathleen Jansen

/s/ Roy D. Gotham