STATE OF MICHIGAN COURT OF APPEALS

In the Matter of MARK ANDREW WOLFE, JR., Minor.

FAMILY INDEPENDENCE AGENCY.

Petitioner-Appellee,

 \mathbf{v}

MARK ANDREW WOLFE, SR.,

Respondent-Appellant,

and

JENNIFER DONNELLY,

Respondent.

Before: Meter, P.J., and Jansen and Gotham*, JJ.

MEMORANDUM.

Respondent-appellant appeals as of right from the trial court's order terminating his parental rights to the minor child under MCL 712A.19b(3)(c)(i), (g), and (j). We affirm the order terminating respondent's parental rights under MCL 712A.19b(3)(c)(i) and (g), although we find the court erred in terminating rights under subsection 19b(c)(j). This case is being decided without oral argument pursuant to MCR 7.214(E).

The trial court did not clearly err in finding that statutory grounds for termination were established by clear and convincing evidence. MCR 5.974(I); *In re Miller*, 433 Mich 331, 337; 445 NW2d 161 (1989). Further, the evidence did not show that termination of respondent-appellant's parental rights was clearly not in the child's best interests. MCL 712A.19b(5); *In re*

UNPUBLISHED December 21, 2001

No. 233720 Jackson Circuit Court Family Division LC No. 00-002435-NA

¹ We note that only one statutory basis need be established to warrant termination. *In re Trejo*, 462 Mich 341, 360; 612 NW2d 407 (2000).

^{*} Circuit judge, sitting on the Court of Appeals by assignment.

Trejo, 462 Mich 341, 356-357; 612 NW2d 407 (2000). Thus, the trial court did not err in terminating respondent-appellant's parental rights to the child.

Affirmed.

/s/ Patrick M. Meter

/s/ Kathleen Jansen

/s/ Roy D. Gotham