STATE OF MICHIGAN COURT OF APPEALS

In the Matter of MINDY MARIE USHER and STACI LORRAINE USHER, Minors.

FAMILY INDEPENDENCE AGENCY,

Petitioner-Appellee,

 \mathbf{v}

KAREN CORNELIUS,

Respondent-Appellant,

and

THOMAS CORNELIUS and ROGER TAFT,

Respondents.

Before: Sawyer, P.J., and O'Connell and Zahra, JJ.

Defore. Sawyer, 1.3., and O Connen and 2

MEMORANDUM.

Respondent-appellant appeals as of right from the trial court order terminating her parental rights to the minor children under MCL 712A.19b(3)(c)(i) and (g). We affirm. This case is being decided without oral argument pursuant to MCR 7.214(E).

The trial court did not clearly err in finding that the statutory grounds for termination were established by clear and convincing evidence. MCR 5.974(I); *In re Miller*, 433 Mich 331, 337; 445 NW2d 161 (1989). Furthermore, the evidence did not show that termination of respondent-appellant's parental rights was clearly not in the children's best interests. MCL

UNPUBLISHED January 29, 2002

No. 230558 Wayne Circuit Court Family Division LC No. 99-383341

¹ Respondent asserts on appeal that the trial court also terminated her parental rights under MCL 712A.19b(3)(j). However, neither the order terminating respondent's parental rights nor the trial court's statements on the record regarding the applicable statutory bases for termination support respondent's assertion.

712A.19b(5); *In re Trejo*, 462 Mich 341, 356-357; 612 NW2d 407 (2000). Therefore, the trial court did not err in terminating respondent-appellant's parental rights to the children.

Affirmed.

/s/ David H. Sawyer

/s/ Peter D. O'Connell

/s/ Brian K. Zahra