

**STATE OF MICHIGAN**  
**COURT OF APPEALS**

---

In the Matter of HRM, Minor.

---

FAMILY INDEPENDENCE AGENCY,

Petitioner-Appellee,

v

ROBERT MOUTARD,

Respondent-Appellant,

and

RENEE MOUTARD,

Respondent.

---

In the Matter of HRM, Minor.

---

FAMILY INDEPENDENCE AGENCY,

Petitioner-Appellee,

v

RENEE MOUTARD

Respondent-Appellant,

and

ROBERT MOUTARD,

Respondent.

---

UNPUBLISHED

January 29, 2002

No. 232261

Macomb Circuit Court

Family Division

LC No. 00-045873-NA

UNPUBLISHED

No. 232323

Macomb Circuit Court

Family Division

LC No. 00-045873-NA

Before: Sawyer, P.J., and O'Connell and Zahra, JJ.

MEMORANDUM.

In these consolidated appeals, respondents appeal as of right from the trial court's order terminating their parental rights to the minor child under MCL 712A.19b(3)(c)(i) and (g). We affirm.

This Court reviews for clear error both the trial court's decision regarding whether a statutory ground for termination was proven by clear and convincing evidence and its decision regarding a child's best interest. MCR 5.974(I); *In re Trejo Minors*, 462 Mich 341, 356-357; 612 NW2d 407 (2000). We conclude that the trial court did not clearly err in finding that the statutory ground for termination under §19b(3)(c)(i) was established by clear and convincing evidence. Because the trial court properly terminated respondents' parental rights under §19b(3)(c)(i), and only one statutory ground for termination must be established in order to terminate parental rights, we need not decide whether termination was also proper under §19b(3)(g). See *In re Trejo, supra*, at 360. Further, considered in its entirety, the evidence did not show that termination of respondents' parental rights was clearly not in the child's best interest. Thus, the trial court did not err in terminating respondents' parental rights to the child. *Id.* at 364.

Affirmed.

/s/ David H. Sawyer  
/s/ Peter D. O'Connell  
/s/ Brian K. Zahra