

STATE OF MICHIGAN
COURT OF APPEALS

In the Matter of LORNA PAULETTE PORTMAN,
DAVID ALEXANDER PORTMAN,
KIMBERLYNN NICHOLE PORTMAN, AARON
TYRONE PORTMAN, PRENTICE STEPHEN
REDDEN, and MARIE ANNIE PORTMAN,
Minors.

FAMILY INDEPENDENCE AGENCY,

Petitioner-Appellee,

v

KIMBERLY YOLAND PORTMAN,

Respondent-Appellant,

and

PAUL TYRONE BELL, SR., and PIERRE
REDDEN,

Respondents.

In the Matter of LORNA PAULETTE PORTMAN,
DAVID ALEXANDER PORTMAN,
KIMBERLYNN NICHOLE PORTMAN, AARON
TYRONE PORTMAN, PRENTICE STEPHEN
REDDEN, and MARIE ANNIE PORTMAN,
Minors.

FAMILY INDEPENDENCE AGENCY,

Petitioner-Appellee,

UNPUBLISHED
January 29, 2002

No. 232377
Wayne Circuit Court
Family Division
LC No. 99-377123

v

PAUL TYRONE BELL,

Respondent-Appellant,

and

KIMBERLY YOLAND PORTMAN and PIERRE
REDDEN,

Respondents.

No. 232523
Wayne Circuit Court
Family Division
LC No. 99-377123

Before: Sawyer, P.J., and O'Connell and Zahra, JJ.

MEMORANDUM.

In these consolidated appeals, respondent-appellant mother appeals as of right from the trial court order terminating her parental rights to the minor children, Prentice, Lorna, Marie, David and Kimberlynn under MCL 712A.19b(3)(c)(i) and (j) and to Aaron under §§ 19b(3)(g) and (i). Respondent-father appeals as of right from the trial court order terminating his parental rights to the minor children, Lorna, Marie, David and Kimberlynn under §§ 19b(3)(c)(i) and (j) and to Aaron under §§ 19b(3)(g) and (i). We affirm. This case is being decided without oral argument pursuant to MCR 7.214(E).

The trial court did not clearly err in finding that the statutory grounds for termination of respondent-appellant mother and respondent-appellant father's parental rights were established by clear and convincing evidence. MCR 5.974(I); *In re Miller*, 433 Mich 331, 337; 445 NW2d 161 (1989). Further, the evidence did not show that termination of either respondent-appellant's parental rights was clearly not in the children's best interests. MCL 712A.19b(5); *In re Trejo*, 462 Mich 341, 356-357; 612 NW2d 407 (2000). Thus, the trial court did not err in terminating respondent-appellant mother's parental rights to her children and respondent-appellant father's parental rights to his children.

Affirmed.

/s/ David H. Sawyer
/s/ Peter D. O'Connell
/s/ Brian K. Zahra