## STATE OF MICHIGAN COURT OF APPEALS

In Re Estate of KATHERINE ANTONOFF, Deceased.

GEORGE PRAPPAS, Personal Representative of the Estate of KATHERINE ANTONOFF, Deceased, UNPUBLISHED April 12, 2002

Appellee,

 $\mathbf{v}$ 

PAULINE G. KAZAKEVICH,

J

No. 229580 Oakland Probate Court LC No. 96-255261-IE

Appellant.

Before: K. F. Kelly, P.J., and Doctoroff and Cavanagh, JJ.

MEMORANDUM.

Appellant appeals as of right an order granting appellee's motion for summary disposition. We affirm. This appeal is being decided without oral argument pursuant to MCR 7.214(E).

Appellant challenges the probate court's order granting appellee's motion for summary disposition on the basis that appellant failed to provide appellee with the required notice of the filing of her pleading to set aside a will and for supervision of the estate. Appellant's issues on appeal are waived by the failure to set forth arguments addressing the merits of her positions, Eldred v Ziny, 246 Mich App 142, 150; 631 NW2d 748 (2001); American Transmission, Inc v Channel 7 of Detroit, Inc, 239 Mich App 695, 705; 609 NW2d 607 (2000), and by failing to address the basis for the trial court's decision. Joerger v Gordon Food Serv, Inc, 224 Mich App 167, 175; 568 NW2d 365 (1997). In addition, this Court previously held, contrary to appellant's argument, that the probate court did not initiate supervision of the estate and that the estate

remains independent. *In re Antonoff*, unpublished per curiam opinion of the Court of Appeals, issued 12/10/1999 (Docket Nos. 209582, 209856).

Affirmed.

/s/ Kirsten Frank Kelly

/s/ Martin M. Doctoroff

/s/ Mark J. Cavanagh