STATE OF MICHIGAN

COURT OF APPEALS

In the Matter of L.J.G., C.B.R.H., A.S.H., M.Y.M., and M.J.M., Minors.

FAMILY INDEPENDENCE AGENCY,

Petitioner-Appellee,

v

MELZURIE CLARETHA HARRIS,

Respondent-Appellant,

and

DARREN BLAND, ALONZO LYLE, JAMES CLARENCE BANKS, and MANYA CRAIG MARTIN,

Respondents.

Before: Hoekstra, P.J., and Wilder and Zahra, JJ.

MEMORANDUM.

Respondent-appellant appeals as of right the order terminating her parental rights to the minor children pursuant to MCL 712A.19b(3)(c)(i) and (g). This case is being decided without oral argument pursuant to MCR 7.214(E). We affirm.

In order to terminate parental rights, the trial court must find that at least one of the statutory grounds for termination in MCL 712A.19b(3) has been met by clear and convincing evidence. *In re McIntyre*, 192 Mich App 47, 50; 480 NW2d 293 (1991). This Court reviews the trial court's findings of fact under the clearly erroneous standard. MCR 5.974(I); *In re Trejo Minors*, 462 Mich 341, 356-357; 612 NW2d 407 (2000); *In re Miller*, 433 Mich 331, 337; 445 NW2d 161 (1989). Here, the trial court did not err in finding grounds for termination where the evidence established that respondent-appellant had an ongoing history of housing instability and that she failed to involve herself in the therapy her children needed to address a severe problem with sexual acting out.

UNPUBLISHED October 25, 2002

No. 234128 Wayne Circuit Court Family Division LC No. 96-337465 Because the evidence did not establish that termination was not in the children's best interests, MCL 712A.19b(5);*Trejo, supra* at 353, the trial court did not err in ordering termination of respondent-appellant's parental rights.

Affirmed.

/s/ Joel P. Hoekstra /s/ Kurtis T. Wilder /s/ Brian K. Zahra