

STATE OF MICHIGAN
COURT OF APPEALS

In the Matter of I.D., J.M.D., R.M.D., and A.L.D.,
Minors.

FAMILY INDEPENDENCE AGENCY,

Petitioner-Appellee,

v

CARMEN ROSA DIAZ,

Respondent-Appellant,

and

ISMAEL DIAZ and ALBERTO OCASIO,

Respondents.

UNPUBLISHED

October 25, 2002

No. 235996

Wayne Circuit Court

Family Division

LC No. 95-330098

Before: Saad, P.J., and Smolenski and Owens, JJ.

MEMORANDUM.

Respondent-appellant appeals as of right from the trial court's order terminating her parental rights to the minor children under MCL 712A.19b(3)(a)(ii), (b)(ii), (c)(i), (g), and (j). We affirm.

We review for clear error both the trial court's decision whether a statutory ground for termination was proven by clear and convincing evidence and its decision regarding a child's best interests. MCR 5.974(I); *In re Trejo*, 462 Mich 341, 356-357; 612 NW2d 407 (2000). We are not persuaded that termination of respondent-appellant's parental rights was warranted under § 19b(3)(a)(ii). However, because only one statutory ground for termination is required, *In re Sours*, 459 Mich 624, 641; 593 NW2d 520 (1999), and because the court did not clearly err in finding that §§ 19b(3)(b)(ii), (c)(i), (g), and (j) were supported by clear and convincing evidence, the court did not clearly err by finding that statutory grounds existed for termination of respondent-appellant's parental rights.

Finally, considered in its entirety, the evidence did not show that termination of respondent-appellant's parental rights was contrary to the children's best interests. MCL

712A19b(5). Therefore, the trial court did not err in terminating respondent-appellant's parental rights. *Id.*

Affirmed.

/s/ Henry William Saad
/s/ Michael R. Smolenski
/s/ Donald S. Owens