## STATE OF MICHIGAN COURT OF APPEALS

In the Matter of N.M.H., H.M.H., and W.J.H., Minors.

FAMILY INDEPENDENCE AGENCY,

Petitioner-Appellee,

V

WILLIAM HILDRETH,

Respondent-Appellant,

and

DIANA MARIE REYNOLDS,

Respondent.

Before: Hoekstra, P.J., and Wilder and Zahra, JJ.

MEMORANDUM.

Respondent-appellant appeals as of right from the trial court order terminating his parental rights to the minor children under MCL 712A.19b(3)(c)(i), (g), and (j). We affirm. This case is being decided without oral argument pursuant to MCR 7.214(E).

The trial court did not clearly err in finding that the statutory grounds for termination were established by clear and convincing evidence. MCR 5.974(I); *In re Miller*, 433 Mich 331, 337; 445 NW2d 161 (1989). Although respondent-appellant complied with some aspects of his treatment plan, the evidence showed that he failed to successfully address his housing, income, alcohol and domestic violence problems, despite being given fourteen months to do so. Further, the evidence did not show that termination of respondent-appellant's parental rights was clearly not in the children's best interests. MCL 712A.19b(5); *In re Trejo Minors*, 462 Mich 341, 356-357; 612 NW2d 407 (2000). Thus, the trial court did not err in terminating respondent-appellant's parental rights to the children.

UNPUBLISHED October 25, 2002

No. 238110 Wayne Circuit Court Family Division LC No. 99-383111

## Affirmed.

/s/ Joel P. Hoekstra

/s/ Kurtis T. Wilder

/s/ Brian K. Zahra