## STATE OF MICHIGAN

## COURT OF APPEALS

In the Matter of DAYZANA L. HENRY, Minor.

FAMILY INDEPENDENCE AGENCY,

Petitioner-Appellee,

 $\mathbf{v}$ 

TALISHA HENRY,

Respondent-Appellant,

and

DEON MOHAMMED,

Respondent.

Before: Markey, P.J., and Wilder and Meter, JJ.

MEMORANDUM.

Respondent-appellant appeals by right from the trial court order terminating her parental rights to the minor child under MCL 712A.19(b)(3)(a)(ii), (c)(i), (g), and (j). We affirm.

The trial court did not clearly err in finding that the statutory grounds for termination were established by clear and convincing evidence. MCR 3.977(J); *In re Miller*, 433 Mich 331, 337: 445 NW2d 161 (1989). The principal condition that led to adjudication was respondent-appellant's abandonment of the child. The evidence revealed that respondent-appellant failed to comply with her treatment plan, had again abandoned the child, had not visited the child for almost a year, and respondent-appellant's whereabouts were unknown at the time of the termination trial.

Further, the evidence did not show that termination of respondent-appellant's parental rights was clearly not in the child's best interest. MCL 712A.19b(5); *In re Trejo*, 462 Mich 341, 356-357; 612 NW2d 407 (200). Given the young age of the child and respondent-appellant's

UNPUBLISHED June 8, 2004

No. 251065 Wayne Circuit Court Family Division LC No. 01-400034 failure to maintain contact, there was no bond between the two. Thus, the trial court did not err in terminating respondent-appellant's parental rights to the child.

We affirm.

/s/ Jane E. Markey

/s/ Kurtis T. Wilder

/s/ Patrick M. Meter