

STATE OF MICHIGAN
COURT OF APPEALS

In the Matter of CASEY GUTH, Minor.

DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES,

Petitioner-Appellee,

v

SEAN ERWIN GUTH,

Respondent-Appellant.

UNPUBLISHED

October 20, 2009

No. 292014

Wayne Circuit Court

Family Division

LC No. 09-484955

Before: Fort Hood, P.J., and Sawyer and Donofrio, JJ.

MEMORANDUM.

Respondent appeals as of right from a circuit court order terminating his parental rights to the minor child pursuant to MCL 712A.19b(3)(b)(i), (g), (j), (k)(ii), and (n)(i). We affirm.

Although respondent argues that the circuit court clearly erred in finding that §§ 19b(3)(b)(i), (g), and (j) were each established by clear and convincing evidence, he fails to address the trial court's reliance on §§ 19b(3)(k)(ii) and (n)(i) as additional statutory grounds for termination. A court need only find that one statutory ground for termination has been proven by clear and convincing evidence. *In re Trejo Minors*, 462 Mich 341, 360; 612 NW2d 407 (2000). Where a respondent does not challenge a trial court's determination with respect to one or more of several statutory grounds, this Court may assume that the trial court did not clearly err in finding that the unchallenged grounds were proven by clear and convincing evidence. See *In re JS & SM*, 231 Mich App 92, 98-99; 585 NW2d 326 (1998), overruled in part on other grounds *In re Trejo, supra* at 353. Further, respondent's failure to address §§ 19b(3)(k)(ii) and (n)(i), issues that must necessarily be reached to reverse the trial court, precludes appellate relief. *City of Riverview v Sibley Limestone*, 270 Mich App 627, 638; 716 NW2d 615 (2006).

Affirmed.

/s/ Karen M. Fort Hood

/s/ David H. Sawyer

/s/ Pat M. Donofrio