

STATE OF MICHIGAN  
COURT OF APPEALS

---

In the Matter of AMANDA MELISSA CIAVONE,  
CAROL TANIA CIAVONE, NICOLE  
DANIELLE CIAVONE, and JACOB ZACKERY  
CIAVONE, Minors.

---

DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES,

Petitioner-Appellee,

v

DENISE RADEMACHER,

Respondent-Appellant,

and

JOHN RICHARD CIAVONE,

Respondent.

---

UNPUBLISHED  
February 23, 2010

No. 294027  
Macomb Circuit Court  
Family Division  
LC Nos. 2008-000599-NA  
2008-000600-NA  
2008-000601-NA  
2008-000602-NA

Before: Fitzgerald, P.J., Cavanagh and Davis, JJ

MEMORANDUM.

Respondent appeals as of right from the trial court's order terminating her parental rights to her four children. Specifically, she argues that the court failed to identify the statutory grounds under which it was terminating her rights and that there was insufficient evidence proving both the grounds and that it was in the children's best interests to terminate her rights. We affirm.

To issue an order terminating parental rights, the trial court must make findings of fact, state conclusions of law, and specify the statutory basis for the order. MCL 712A.19b(1); MCR 3.977(H)(1); *In re Trejo*, 462 Mich 341, 355; 612 NW2d 407 (2000); *In re Campbell*, 170 Mich App 243, 252; 428 NW2d 347 (1988).

The court identified the grounds under which the petition was filed in its oral opinion, MCR 3.977(H)(3), and the transcript of the proceedings indicates the court was well aware of the issues and the law. Adequate appellate review does not require any further explanation. See

*Triple E Produce Corp v Mastronardi Produce Ltd*, 209 Mich App 165, 176; 530 NW2d 772 (1995). Thus, there is no need to reverse on this ground.

The substantive evidence of the grounds was also sufficient. Respondent had been chronically homeless for over a decade, and showed little ability to control her aggressive nature despite completing an anger management course. While it is possible that she could turn her life around in a short time, that is not the course her life has taken over a period of many years. The trial court did not clearly err in finding grounds to terminate her rights. Nor did it err in finding termination was in the children's best interests. Respondent's inability to maintain a stable home prevented the children from having sufficient stability in their lives.

Affirmed.

/s/ E. Thomas Fitzgerald

/s/ Mark J. Cavanagh

/s/ Alton T. Davis