## STATE OF MICHIGAN COURT OF APPEALS

JOANN SPARKS,

UNPUBLISHED May 25, 2010

Plaintiff-Appellant,

 $\mathbf{v}$ 

No. 289395 Genesee Circuit Court LC No. 07-087473

CITIZENS INSURANCE COMPANY OF AMERICA.

Defendant-Appellee.

Before: METER, P.J., and MURRAY and BECKERING, JJ.

MURRAY, J. (concurring).

Although defendant does not specifically argue that plaintiff's unemployment at the time of the accident in and of itself precluded recovery under MCL 500.3107(1)(b), I feel compelled to nonetheless note my agreement with Judge O'Connell's dissent in *Sullivan Sullivan v North River Ins Co*, 238 Mich App 433, 439-440; 606 NW2d 383 (2000). As Judge O'Connell stated in that opinion, both the statute and our Supreme Court's analysis of it in *Popma v Auto Club Ins Ass'n*, 446 Mich 460, 466; 521 NW2d 831 (1994), indicate that "[t]he provisions governing the award of work-loss benefits are contingent on the employment status of the claimant at the time of the accident." Thus, the *Popma* Court held that "[a]n employed claimant's work-loss benefits are calculated pursuant to MCL 3107(1)(b)," *id.* at 473, "while § 3107a applies when a claimant is temporarily unemployed[,]" *id.* at 466. In other words, "[s]ection 3107(1)(b) applies when a claimant is working at the time of the accident," *id.*, and because plaintiff was not actively employed at the time of the accident, she should be precluded from pursing her claim under § 3107(1)(b).

/s/ Christopher M. Murray