This memorandum opinion was not selected for publication in the New Mexico Appellate Reports. Please see Rule 12-405 NMRA for restrictions on the citation of unpublished memorandum opinions. Please also note that this electronic memorandum opinion may contain computer-generated errors or other deviations from the official paper version filed by the Court of Appeals and does not include the filing date.

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF NEW MEXICO

2 STATE OF NEW MEXICO,

Plaintiff-Appellee,

4 **v.**

1

3

6

No. 35,004

5 JAY CHARLEY,

Defendant-Appellant.

7 APPEAL FROM THE DISTRICT COURT OF BERNALILLO COUNTY 8 Briana H. Zamora, District Judge

9 Hector H. Balderas, Attorney General10 Santa Fe, NM

11 for Appellee

12 Jorge A. Alvarado, Chief Public Defender

13 Santa Fe, NM

14 Steven J. Forsberg, Assistant Appellate Defender

15 Albuquerque, NM

16 for Appellant

17

MEMORANDUM OPINION

18 **HANISEE Judge.**

I [1] Defendant-Appellant Jay Charley (Defendant) has appealed from a conviction
 for aggravated DWI. We previously issued a notice of proposed summary disposition
 in which we proposed to uphold the conviction. Defendant has filed a combined
 memorandum in opposition and motion to accept as timely. The motion is hereby
 granted.

6 {2} Defendant continues to challenge the sufficiency of the evidence to support the
7 conviction. [MIO 1] We gather that he may also renew his challenge to the denial of
8 his motion to suppress. [MIO 1] However, Defendant advances no new argument or
9 authority. We therefore remain unpersuaded.

10 {3} Accordingly, for the reasons described in the notice of proposed summary11 disposition, we affirm.

- 12 {4} IT IS SO ORDERED.
- 13 14

J. MILES HANISEE, Judge

15 WE CONCUR:

16 17 MICHAEL E. VIGIL, Chief Judge

1 2 RODERICK T. KENNEDY, Judge