This memorandum is uncorrected and subject to revision before publication in the New York Reports.

\_\_\_\_\_\_

No. 122 SSM 9

In the Matter of RSM West Lake Road LLC, et al.,

Respondents,

v.

Town of Canandaigua Zoning Board of Appeals, et al.,

Respondents,

East Shore Association of Canandaigua Lake, Inc., et al., Appellants.

Submitted by Sheldon W. Boyce, for appellants East Shore Association of Canandaigua Lake, Inc. and Canandaigua Lake Watershed Alliance, Inc.

Submitted by Thomas F. Walsh, for respondents RSM West Lake Road, LLC, German Brothers Marina, Inc., and RSM Development Company, LLC.

## MEMORANDUM:

The order of the Appellate Division should be affirmed, with costs.

Appellants East Shore Association of Canandaigua Lake, Inc. and Canandaigua Lake Watershed Alliance, Inc. had standing

- 2 - SSM No. 9

to appeal to the Town of Canandaigua Zoning Board of Appeals (ZBA) from the zoning officer's determinations that the clubhouse is a private water oriented recreational facility within the meaning of the Town of Canandaigua Zoning Law (Zoning Law) and that the docks, moorings and boat slips are regulated by the "all other land uses " category of the Canandaigua Lake Uniform Docking and Mooring Law (UDML) (see Matter of Sun-Brite Car Wash v Board of Zoning & Appeals of Town of N. Hempstead, 69 NY2d 406, 412-414 [1987]; Town Law § 267-a [4]; UDML 44-10 [A]). Moreover, the ZBA had jurisdiction to review the zoning officer's determinations interpreting the UDML, which was adopted pursuant to article 16 of the Town Law, as authorized by Navigation Law § 46-a (4) (a) (see Town Law § 267-a [4]). However, the ZBA's determinations as to the clubhouse under the Zoning Law and the docks, moorings and boat slips under the UDML were arbitrary and capricious. Finally, any issue as to whether the proposed sundeck is a dock within the meaning of the UDML has become moot inasmuch as the sundeck was removed from the overall project subsequent to the Appellate Division's determination.

On review of submissions pursuant to section 500.11 of the Rules, order affirmed, with costs, in a memorandum. Chief Judge Lippman and Judges Ciparick, Graffeo, Read, Smith, Pigott and Jones concur.

Decided May 12, 2009